



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,853	07/24/2001	Susumu Kobayashi	2001_1035A	4034
513 7590 11/23/2007 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			EXAMINER TANG, KAREN C	
			ART UNIT 2151	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/23/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/910,853

Applicant(s)

KOBAYASHI ET AL.

Examiner

Karen C. Tang

Art Unit

2151

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 39, 43, 47, 50, 54 and 58 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 39, 43, 47, 50, 54 and 58 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/24/07.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____.

- This action is responsive to the amendment and remarks file on 10/2/07.
- Claims 1-20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 39, 43, 47, 50, 54, and 58 are presented for further examination.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/2/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the prior arts of records do not disclose the newly amended limitation:

Wherein said mail terminal comprises:

“a selection received unit operable to, based on the received identifier list, display item indicating the unique identifiers in a selectable manner;”

Examiner disagrees.

Hanna, with suggestions of AAPA and Pollack, teaches the alleged missing limitation, where Hanna's mail terminal comprises the selection received unit, which, based on the received identifier list, display item (URL) indicating the unique identifiers in a selectable manner (the URL as an item that comprises the unique identifier, the user can select the URL in order to retrieve the attachment, refer to Col 5, Lines 55-60).

Art Unit: 2151

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 39, 43, 47, 50, 54, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admit Prior Art (AAPA : remarks/arguments received on 10/07/05) in view of Hanna et al hereinafter Hanna (US 7,054,905) in further view of Pollack (US 6,505,236).

1. Referring to Claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 39, 43, 47, 50, 54, and 58, AAPA discloses an e-mail transmission/reception system including a mail terminal, a mail server, and a mail gateway, wherein said mail gateway is connected to the said mail terminal via a network and to said mail server via another network (pages 26, Lines 13 - 26), wherein said mail gateway comprises:

a list transmission unit operable to generate a list of identifiers (a list is an single element: a mail ID is a list) generated by the said identifier generation (it is the system comprises a identifier generation unit in able to create mail id) and transmit the identifier list to said mail terminal (page 26, Lines 13-26);

a reception unit operable to receive attached-file specifying e-mail transmitted from said mail terminal (email that comprising an attached file received from terminal, page 26, Lines 13-26),

the attached-file specifying e-mail including one or more identifiers generated by said identifier generation unit (page 26, Lines 18-26);

an attached file acquisition unit operable to acquire attached files from the-said attached file holding unit, the at least one attached file corresponding to the at least one identifier included in the attached-file specifying e-mail received by said reception unit (page 26, Lines 18-26);

a construction unit operable to construct a file attached e-mail by attaching the at least attached files acquired by the-said attached file acquisition unit to the attached-file specifying e-mail received by said reception unit (page 26, Lines 19-23);

and a mail transmission unit operable to transmit the file attached e-mail constructed by said construction unit to said mail server (page 26, Lines 19-23); and

wherein said mail terminal comprises:

a list reception unit operable to receive the identifier list from said mail gateway (that the terminal is able to received email with attachments, page 26, Lines 13-27);

a preparation unit operable to prepare the attached file specifying email including an unique identifier corresponding to an item (when selected all the attachments, it is equivalent to the conventional ways) as a substitutes for at least one attached file that the user wants to attach to the file attached e-mail (page 26, Lines 13-27); and

a transmission unit operable to transmit the prepared attached-file specifying email to said mail gateway (page 25, Lines 23-26).

Although AAPA disclosed the invention substantially as claimed, AAPA is silent in regard to indicate server comprising an attached file holding unit operable to receive an e-mail addressed

to a user who possesses said mail terminal and hold one or more attached files included in the e-mail;

and a mail distribution unit operable to receive a file attached e-mail transmitted from said mail gateway and distribute the file attached e-mail to a respective address of one or more receivers of the e-mail;

and a selection receiving unit operable to, based on the received identifier list, display items indicating the unique identifiers in a selectable manner;

Hanna, in an analogous art, disclosed an attached file holding unit operable to receive an e-mail addressed to a user who possesses said mail terminal and hold one or more attached files included in the e-mail (refer to Col 1, Lines 50-55);

and a mail distribution unit operable to receive a file attached e-mail transmitted from said mail gateway and distribute the file attached e-mail to a respective address of one or more receivers of the e-mail (refer to Col 3, Lines 60-67, Col 4, Lines 1-15); and

a selection receiving unit operable to, based on the received identifier list, display items indicating the unique identifiers in a selectable manner (refer to Col 5, Lines 55-60, the unique identifier must be displayed to the user in order for the selection and retrieve manner);

Hence, providing the feature disclosed by Hanna, would be desired for user to utilized it in the gateway system in order for the system maintain the stabilities and avoid latency by taking off loads from the server.

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of AAPA by including the features in Hanna.

Art Unit: 2151

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that by eliminate the need for individual decision and input by the user, stimulating the operating time and allow system to eliminate unnecessary space being allocated.

Although AAPA and Hanna disclosed the invention substantially as claimed, AAPA is silent in regard to comprising an identifier generation unit operable to generate an unique identifier for each attached files held by said attached file holding unit, respectively;

Pollack, an analogous art disclosed an identifier generation unit (producing calls) operable to generate an unique identifier for each attached files held by said attached file holding unit, respectively (refer to abstract);

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine AAPA, Hanna, and Pollack, because it would have been desirable to avoid significant amount of effort to manually copy the file to the server and used up unnecessary system resources.

The suggestion/motivation would have been that by managing the stored file, it allows user to quickly and easily manage the files on a network storage system on any device.

Although AAPA and Hanna combined suggested the invention substantially as claimed, both AAPA and Hanna are silent in regarding to disclosed the attached-file specifying e-mail including at least one identifier among the unique identifiers generated by said identifier generation unit for each of the plurality of attached files held by said attached file holding unit; Pollack, however, also in an analogous art, disclosed the attached-file specifying e-mail including at least one identifier (file handler, abstract) among the unique identifiers generated by

Art Unit: 2151

said identifier generation unit for each of the plurality of attached files held by said attached file holding unit (refer to abstract);

Hence, providing the feature suggested by Pollack, it would be desired for user to have a generator that generate the unique identifier for the attachment files, for user to identify the file that is desired to forward or attached. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine AAPA, Hanna, and Pollack by including the feature suggested in Pollack.

The suggestion/motivation would have been that by managing the stored file, it allows user to quickly and easily manage the files on a network storage system on any device.

2. Referring to Claims 2, 6, 10, and 14, AAPA discloses wherein said attached file holding unit is operable to, whenever receiving an e-mail that includes attached files, generate a list of the attached files and pass the list of the attached files to said identifier generation unit (page 26); and said identifier generation unit is operable to generate an identifier for each of the attached files, respectively, in accordance with the list of the attached files passed by said attached file holding unit (page 26).

3. Referring to Claims 3, 7, 11, 15, and 18, AAPA discloses wherein said mail terminal further comprises: a file format registration unit operable to register one or more file formats of attached files for each receiver's address that the receiver should adopt in said mail gateway (attachment is inherent comprises a type of file format, page 26);

Art Unit: 2151

wherein said mail gateway further comprises: a file format storage unit operable to store receivers' addresses and file formats that are registered by said file format registration unit (page 26);

and a file format conversion unit operable to convert a file format of each attached file for each receiver's address in accordance with the receivers' addresses and the file formats that are stored in the said format storage unit (page 26); and

wherein said construction unit is operable to construct the file attached e-mail by attaching each attached files converted by said file format conversion unit from and as a substitute for each attached file acquired by said attached file acquisition unit, respectively (substitute the file attachment with mail ID, page 26).

4. Referring to Claims 4, 8, 12, 16, and 19, AAPA discloses all the limitation in Claim 1, furthermore, AAPA discloses wherein said mail terminal further comprises: a sharing notification unit operable to notify said mail gateway that one or more attached files included in an e-mail addressed to the user of said mail terminal are to be shared with other users (send email to the destination, refer to page 26);

wherein said mail gateway further comprises:

an attached file sharing unit operable to, when receiving the notification that the one or more attached files are to be shared with the other users from said mail terminal share each of the attached files held by said attached tile holding unit with the other users (refer to page 26);

wherein said sharing notification unit includes:

Art Unit: 2151

a shared file notification unit operable to notify said mail gateway of one or more identifiers (each attachment does has unique ids) selected by the user from the identifier list as substitutes for the one or more attached files that are permitted to be shared (page 26);

and a sharer notification unit operable to notify said mail gateway of one or more sharers of each of the attached files that are to be shared with the other users (page 26);

wherein said attached file sharing unit is operable to share attached files that correspond to the identifiers notified from said shared file notification unit among attached files held by the-said attached file holding unit with the sharers notified from said sharer notification unit (page 26):

wherein said list transmission unit is further operable to transmit a list of the shared identifiers to the sharers of the attached files wherein said attached file acquisition unit further operable to acquire attached files that correspond to the shared identifiers and wherein said list reception unit further operable to receive a list of shared identifiers that have one to one correspondence to each of the attached files that are shared by the user of said mail terminal as one member of the sharers (page 26).

AAPA, nor Hanna expressly comprising an identifier generation unit operable to generate an unique identifier for each attached files held by said attached file holding unit, respectively;

Pollack disclosed an identifier generation unit operable to generate an unique identifier for each attached files held by said attached file holding unit, respectively (refer to abstract);

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine AAPA, Hanna, and Pollack since the inventions are analogous.

The suggestion/motivation would have been that by managing the stored file, it allows user to quickly and easily manage the files on a network storage system on any device.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims, the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objection made. Applicant must show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR 1.111(c).

Pollack (US 2002/0019851) discloses a system for management and manipulation of stored files through electronic mail items including a receiving portal for receiving from a sender an electronic mail item which contains a user identification, a file handle and a command specification, a storage device containing a file corresponding to the file handle, a rights verifier to determining whether or not the sender has privilege to access the stored file corresponding to the file handle, and a command executor which executes the command specification on the file retrieved from the storage device when the sender is verified to have the access rights to the file.

Godfrey et al (US 6,463,463) discloses a system and method for pushing information, such as calendar event messages, from a host system to a mobile data communication device upon sensing a triggering event is disclosed.

Kuzma (US 5,781,901) discloses transmitting e-mail attachments from a sender of a network to a recipient of the network.

Art Unit: 2151

Saltanov et al (US 6,839,411) discloses a graphic user interface is disclosed that displays messages to a user in a manner such that attached or embedded message within a message can be easily viewed with reduced user effort.

Rhee (US 7,149,777) discloses a method for more effectively accessing message attachments that is particularly applicable in a telephone voicemail or email system.

Margolus (US 7,124,305) discloses features methods b which more than one client program connected to a network stores the same data item on a storage device of a data repository connected to the network.

Examiner's Notes: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen C. Tang whose telephone number is (571)272-3116. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7 - 3.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571)272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KT

 **JOHN FOLLANSBEE**
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100